Structure of Hydroxysodalite Na₈[AlSiO₄]₆(OH)₂, a Powder Neutron Diffraction Study at 8 K

By S. Luger and J. Felsche

Fakultät für Chemie, Universität Konstanz, Postfach 5560, D-7750 Konstanz, Federal Republic of Germany

AND P. FISCHER

Laboratorium für Neutronenstreuung, ETH Zürich, CH-5303 Würenlingen, Switzerland

(Received 16 December 1984; accepted 18 July 1986)

Abstract. Na₈[AlSiO₄]₆(OH)₂, $M_r = 932 \cdot 10$, cubic, $P\bar{4}3n$, a = 8.7342 (8) Å, $V = 666 \cdot 30$ (19) Å³, Z = 1, $D_x = 2.32$ Mg m⁻³, neutrons, $\lambda = 2.343$ Å, $\mu = 0.0098$ mm⁻¹, $F(000) = 219 \cdot 06$. T = 8 K. The structure has been refined by the Rietveld profile analysis of powder neutron diffraction data at 8 K. Final $R_r = 0.051$, $R_F = 0.073$, $R_P = 0.166$, $R_{wP} = 0.162$. The aluminosilicate framework is completely ordered as known for the aluminosilicate sodalite phases. The O(2) atom of the hydroxyl group is located on the central 2(a) position of the sodalite cage. The two H atoms per unit cell are statistically distributed on position 8(e) of space group $P\bar{4}3n$, corresponding to the O–H bond length of 1.09 (3) Å.

Introduction. The sodalite framework structure first described by Pauling (1930) and refined by Löns & Schulz (1967) has proved to be a versatile host lattice for a number of M^+/M^{2+} metal ions (M: Na, K, Rb, Ag, Cu, Ca, Sr, Co, Ni, ...) and corresponding X^- , XO_3^{n-} or XO_4^{n-} -type anions (X: Cl, Br, I, S, Se, N, P,...) (Barrer, Cole & Sticher, 1968). So-called hydrosodalites, M_{6+x}^+ [AlSiO₄]₆(OH)_x.nH₂O, $0 \leq x \leq 2$, $0 < n \le 8$, contain water of different forms (OH⁻, H₂O) and alkali ions, M^+ : Na⁺, K⁺, as non-framework constituents (Barrer & Vaughan, 1971). X-ray and neutron diffraction studies as well as ¹H NMR experiments on Na₈[AlSiO₄]₆(OH)₂.2H₂O showed different positioning of OH⁻/H₂O molecules with respect to the tetrahedral organization of the alkali-metal ions (Bondareva & Malinovskii, 1983; Hassan & Grundy, 1983; Galitskii, Grachushnikov, Ilyukhin & Belov, 1974).

From thorough thermoanalytical data based on distinct preparation techniques for pure phase polycrystalline samples we were recently successful in distinguishing between two separate series of phases $Na_6[AlSiO_4]_6.nH_2O$ with $0 \le n \le 8$ and $Na_8[AlSiO_4]_6-(OH)_2.nH_2O$ with $0 \le n \le 4$ which show limited solid-solution behaviour (Luger & Felsche, 1984; Felsche & Luger, 1986).

Within a general approach of elastic and inelastic neutron scattering experiments we shall deal with hydrosodalite species of different OH-/H2O constitutions in order to clarify fundamental hydrogencontrolled interactions between framework and nonframework constituents in zeolite materials. X-ray and neutron diffraction experiments recently verified hydrogen bonding between the non-framework constituents (OH_2) and framework O atoms in phases of the series $Na_{6}[AlSiO_{4}]_{6}$, $nH_{2}O$ only (Bärlocher, Felsche, Fischer & Luger, 1986; Felsche, Fischer & Luger, 1986b). No hydrogen bonding has been observed between framework and non-framework O atoms in the deuterized phase of the highest hydrate of the basic hydrosodalite phase Na₈[AlSiO₄]₆(OD)₂.4D₂O, however (Felsche, Fischer & Luger 1986a).

We report here on the 8 K neutron diffraction study of the hydroxysodalite $Na_8[AlSiO_4]_6(OH)_2$, which is the anhydrous end member of the basic sodalite series.

Experimental. Hydroxysodalite samples $Na_8[AlSiO_4]_{6^-}$ (OH), have been obtained from given pure phase batches of the hydroxysodalite hydrate Na_s[Al- $SiO_4]_6(OH)_2.nH_2O, n = 3(8:2:3).*$ Thermogravimetrically controlled annealing at 863 K for 3 h under dry N₂ atmosphere yielded corresponding samples of composition Na₈[AlSiO₄]₆(OH)₂ (8:2:0)* (Luger & Felsche, 1984). Care had to be taken against subsequent attack of (8:2:0)* samples by moisture or carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. Any manipulations of samples, e.g. in analytical procedures or for transfer to further equipment, had to be carried out under air-exclusion conditions. Owing to hightemperature annealing (863 K) of the starting product $Na_8[AlSiO_4]_6(OH)_2.3H_2O$ a small amount (~5%) of the decomposition product $(Na_2O)_{0.16}Na[AlSiO_4]$ appeared to contribute to the diffraction pattern of the sample used.

 $[\]ensuremath{^*}\xspace{Molar}$ ratios of non-framework constituents are given as an abbreviation.

^{© 1987} International Union of Crystallography

HYDROXYSODALITE

Table 1. Ex	Tabl	e 2. /	4tomi	
Sample container	Cylindrical vanadium container	the	ermal j	paran
Sample container Radiation μR Reactor Monochromator Filter Soller slits Cooling Pattern 2 θ range (°2 θ) Step-scan increment (°2 θ) Coberent scattering lengths (fm)	Cylindrical vanadium container, 10 mm diameter, He gas atmosphere Neutrons wavelength $\lambda = 2.343$ (2) Å 0.098 Saphir, EIR CH-Würenlingen (002) planes of graphite Pyrolytic graphite, thick ness 6 cm Horizontal divergence $\alpha_1 = 20$, $\alpha_2 = 30'$ Closed-cycle He refrigerator 6.05-139.7 0.15 $\Delta I = 3.49$ Si = 4.15 O = 5.81	Al Si O(1) O(2) Na H	Occu- pancy 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.25	Site 6(c) 6(d) 24(i) 2(a) 8(e) 8(e)
Number of contributing reflections structure 1	H = -3.44, Na = 3.63 42			
structure 2 Background	12 Linear interpolation between points of no contribution from reflections	Tabl	e 3. 2	Select
Preferred orientation	None			w
Number of cycles Number of parameters	6	SiO	tetrahed	dra
Definitions $R_{I} = \sum I_{i}(\text{obs}) - (1/c)I_{i}(\text{calc}) / \sum I_{i}$ $R_{E} = \sum I_{i}(\text{obs}) ^{1/2} - I_{i}(\text{calc}) ^{1/2} /$	(obs) \[\]/(obs) \'2	Si–O(O(1)–	(1) -O(1)	1· 2·
$R_{p} = \sum Y_{i}(\text{obs}) - (1/c)Y_{i}(\text{calc}) /\Sigma $ $R_{wP} = \sum w_{i} Y_{i}(\text{obs}) - (1/c)Y_{i}(\text{calc}) $ $Y_{i} =$	$Y_i(obs)$ $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{w_i} Y_i(obs) ^2 ^{1/2}$	AlO₄	tetrahe	2. dra
Largest correlation matrix element Max. Δ/σ	0·74 <0·01		(1)	1.
Computer program used	Rietveld, version of Werner, Salome & Malmros (1979)	U(1)-	-0(1)	2.

The refinement yielded the final $R_I = 0.057$, R_F = 0.073 for the hydroxysodalite and $R_p = 0.166$, $R_{wP} = 0.162$ for the profile. The α -carnegieite-type phase (Na₂O)_{0.16}Na[AlSiO₄] (Klingenberg & Felsche, 1986) has been refined with space group $F\overline{4}3m$ and the final parameters Si (0, 0, 0), Al $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$, O (x, x, x), x = 0.178, Na $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and a = 7.261 Å. The refinement of this phase yielded x = 0.176 (4), a = 7.264 (4) Å and final $R_I = 0.317$ and $R_F = 0.231$. (For details see Table 1.)

Discussion. Atomic parameters and isotropic thermal parameters are given in Table 2, atomic distances and angles in Table 3. The agreement between the observed and the calculated profiles is shown in Fig. 1.*

The configuration of the non-framework constituents Na, O(2) and H in the sodalite framework is given in Fig. 2. The Na atoms are located on the eightfold 8(e)position in the cubic unit cell of space-group symmetry $P\bar{4}3n$. The Na atoms of the tetrahedral Na configuration show close contacts to three O atoms of the six-membered rings of the cubo-octahedral TO_4 framework structure [Na-O(1) = 2.349 (9) Å]. The centre 2(a) position is occupied by the non-framework oxygen O(2) of the formal hydroxyl grouping (see below), whereas it is occupied by an H atom of the

c positional parameters and isotropic neters (Ų) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

	Occu-					
	pancy	Site	x	У	z	В
Al	1.0	6(c)	14	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0.59 (9)
Si	1.0	6(d)	1	Ō	1	0.59 (9)
0(1)	1.0	24(i)	0·1370 (8)	0-4265 (5)	Õ∙1458 (8)	0.59 (9)
O(2)	1.0	2(a)	0	0	0	1.9 (5)
Na	1.0	8(e)	0.1587 (9)	0.1587 (9)	0.1587 (9)	1.2 (3)
н	0.25	8(e)	-0.072 (4)	-0.072 (4)	-0.072 (4)	2 (1)

ed bond distances (Å) and angles (°) vith e.s.d.'s in parentheses

SiO₄ tetrahed:	ra				
Si-O(1)	1.635 (6)	∂ (1)–Si–O(1)	108.1 (3)		
O(1) - O(1)	2.646 (6)		112.4 (3)		
	2.716 (6)				
AlO₄ tetrahed					
AI = O(1)	1.734 (6)	O(1)-Al-O(1)	108.9 (3)		
O(1) - O(1)	2.822 (6)		110.6 (3)		
	2.852 (6)	Si-O(1)-Al	132.9 (3)		
Sodium coord	Sodium coordination				
Na-O(1)	2.349 (9)				
Na-O(2)	2.401 (8)				
Hydrogen coo	ordination				

H-O(2) 1.09 (3) H-Na





Fig. 1. Observed (dots) and calculated (solid line) neutron powder diffraction profile of the $Na_8[AlSiO_4]_6(OH)_2$ sample at 8 K. Significance of differences $I_{obs} - I_{calc}$ is shown at the bottom. Contributions of the cubic Na2O-rich carnegieite-type phase (Na₂O)_{0.16}NaAlSiO₄ are indicated at the top, where Bragg positions corresponding to α -NaAlSiO₄ are given.

^{*} Lists of structure factors, observed and calculated data points for Fig. 1 and extended lists of chemical bonding data have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 43269 (5 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

hydrated hydroxyl group $[O_{1+n}H_{1+2n}]^-$ in the parent hydrosodalite species Na₈[AlSiO₄]₆(OH)₂.4H₂O (Felsche, Fischer & Luger, 1986a). However, Hassan & Grundy (1983) suggested the centre 2(a) position to be empty in the basic sodalite of intermediate composition $Na_8[AlSiO_4]_6(OH)_22H_2O$ (single-crystal Xray structure analysis at 298 K). Bondareva & Malinovskii (1983) confirm the positional disorder from the statistical distribution of the OH/OH₂ constituents of the dihydrate Na₈[AlSiO₄]₆(OH)₂.2H₂O in the orthorhombic low-temperature phase, which shows up below 150 K at a reversible phase transition. In the case of our hydrosodalite sample of Na₈[AlSiO₄]₆(OH)₂ no phase transition has been observed down to temperatures of 8 K.

From the centring of the sodalite cage by the O atom a close resemblance is given with the mineral sodalite $Na_8[AISiO_4]_6Cl_2$ which shows the Cl atom on position 2(a) of the same space-group symmetry, unambiguously (Löns & Schulz, 1967). Thus the presence of the one H atom per sodalite cage makes the essential difference between the two structures. The H atom coordinates the central O(2) atom statistically in a tetrahedron as shown in Fig. 2. Detailed interpretation of the bonding of the H atom is strongly affected by the extent of the relative contributions of positional disorder and of the thermal motion of the H atom in this 8 K study. Taken strictly at face value the distances of



Fig. 2. Configuration of the non-framework constituents Na, O, H in the framework structure of Na₈[AlSiO₄]₆(OH)₂. The atom O(2) of the hydroxyl group is unambiguously located on the centre of the cubo-octahedral cage of the [AlSiO₄]⁻ framework. The H atom shows positional disorder. It is statistically distributed on the vertices of the smaller tetrahedron, thus contacting the O atom [H–O(2)=1.09 (3) Å] and three out of four Na atoms of the Na₄ tetrahedron as well [H–Na = 2.28 (3) Å]. One sodalite cage with T–O–T = 180° bridging angles is shown for clarity.

O-H = 1.09 (3) and Na-H = 2.28 (3) Å provide some ambiguity with regard to the real nature of the bonding between the H atom and the central O(2) atom and also the three out of four Na atoms which coordinate the H atom in a trigonal pyramid.

Data for the inelastic and quasi-elastic neutron scattering experiment of the same and the deuterized samples of hydroxysodalite covering the extended range of temperatures 2 K < T < 1000 K will help to overcome the problem of distinguishing between dynamical and static-statistical properties of the H atom in this phase. Thus special ordering of the H atoms would amount to a reduction of the space-group symmetry below cubic! (Bührer, Felsche & Luger, 1987).

Spectroscopic data for the corresponding hightemperature *in situ* IR and magic-angle spinning (MAS) ¹H NMR experiments at 298 K provide some preliminary evidence of the very distinct nature of the chemical bond to the H atom in the given matrix of the sodalite cage. The diminishing of the 3640 cm⁻¹ stretching mode of the hydroxysodalite sample of composition Na₈[AlSiO₄]₆(OH)₂ and the chemical shift of -6.5 p.p.m. indicate substantial decoupling of the O–H contact. Values of the chemical shift of 3.5 and -1.0 p.p.m. are found in the ¹H-MAS-NMR spectrum of the parent phase for regular hydroxyl groupings for comparison (Felsche & Luger, 1986; Buhl, Felsche, Förster & Luger, 1986).

This work was in part financially supported by the BMFT, Bonn-Bad Godesberg.

References

- BÄRLOCHER, C., FELSCHE, J., FISCHER, P. & LUGER, S. (1986). Zeolites, 6, 367–372.
- BARRER, R. M., COLE, J. F. & STICHER, H. (1968). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 2475–2485.
- BARRER, R. M. & VAUGHAN, D. E. W. (1971). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 32, 731-742.
- BONDAREVA, O. S. & MALINOVSKII, YU. A. (1983). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 28, 273-276.
- BUHL, CH., FELSCHE, J., FÖRSTER, H. & LUGER, S. (1986). Zeolites. In the press.
- BÜHRER, W., FELSCHE, J. & LUGER, S. (1987). In preparation.
- FELSCHE, J., FISCHER, P. & LUGER, S. (1986a). Zeolites. Submitted.
- FELSCHE, J., FISCHER, P. & LUGER, S. (1986b). Acta Cryst. Submitted.
- FELSCHE, J. & LUGER, S. (1986). Phys. Chem. Miner. In the press.
- GALITSKII, V. YU., GRACHUSHNIKOV, B. N., ILYUKHIN, V. V. & BELOV, N. V. (1974). Sov. Phys. Dokl. 19, 111-113.
- HASSAN, I. & GRUNDY, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 3-6.
- KLINGENBERG, R. & FELSCHE, J. (1986). J. Solid State Chem. 61, 40-46.
- Löns, J. & Schulz, H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 434-436.
- LUGER, S. & FELSCHE, J. (1984). Acta Cryst. A40, C116.

PAULING, L. (1930). Z. Kristallogr. 74, 213-219.

WERNER, P.-E., SALOMÉ, S. & MALMROS, G. (1979). J. Appl. Cryst. 12, 107-109.